erwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to re-

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

	Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 B. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
Application Number	10/671,078
Filing Date	9/25/2003
First Named Inventor	Robert Parlee

TRANSMITTAL FORM

Art Unit 1732 **Examiner Name** Allan R. Kuhns (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Tota	al Number of	Pages in T	his Submission	26	Attorr	еу Боске	i Number	parlee0	1.005			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)												
	Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Repty After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks			After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): return postcard technical paper					
	-		SIGNA	TURE	OF AP	PLICAN	IT, ATT	ORNEY, (OR AG	ENT		
Firm N	lame	Gordor	n E. Nelson, F									
Signature I welver & Malan												
Printed name Gordon E. Nelson												
Date December 30, 2005				Reg. No. 30,					30,0	,093		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING												
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature												
Typed or printed name Gordon E. I				Velson						Date	December 30, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (parlee017.005)

Applicant:

Robert Parlee

Paper No.:

Application No:

10/671,078

Group Art Unit: 1732

Filed:

9/25/03

Examiner: Allan R. Kuhns

FAX: 517-273-1202

Title: Techniques for making carbon fiber bicycle frames

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to a final Office action under 37 C.F.R. 1.116

Background

Applicant received a first Office action in the above application mailed 4/29/05. After a restriction requirement and election, claims 17 and 19-23 remained for examination. In the first Office action, Examiner rejected claims 17 and 19-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102 as anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,986,949, Trimble, *Method of making composite bicycle frames*, issued 1/22/91 (henceforth "Trimble"). Examiner graciously granted Applicant a telephonic interview on Wednesday, July 20 at 10:00. Applicant's attorney submitted an *Argument* to Examiner prior to the interview to aid Examiner in his preparation for the interview. In the interview, Examiner agreed that claim 18 should be included in the group of claims under examination and that the claims as amended in the *Argument* were patentable over Trimble. A response filed July 21, 2005 included the claims as amended in the *Argument* and better stated the differences between Applicant's techniques and those of Trimble.

In a final Office action in the above patent application mailed 10/03/05, Examiner rejected claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2. paragraph as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of Applicant's invention and rejected claims 17,

19-23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent 5,019,312, Bishop, *Method for assembling a bicycle frame*, issued 5/28/91 (henceforth "Bishop"). Examiner granted Applicant an interview on Dec. 1 at 10:00. While no agreement was reached in the interview, Applicant's attorney found it useful in that it gave Applicant's attorney a better understanding of Examiner's reading of the reference. This improved understanding has resulted in the amendments to the Specification and to claims 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21 which follow.

Please amend the Specification as follows: